

APPLICATION NO.

10/647,652

United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

08/26/2003

01/05/2006

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

BOTTORFF, CHRISTOPHER

PAPER NUMBER

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

Thomas Brendel 03100131US 2125

EXAMINER

McGuireWoods LLP Suite 1800 1750 Tysons Boulevard Tysons Corner McLean, VA 22102-4215

7590

3618

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2006

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/647,652	BRENDEL, THOMAS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher Bottorff	3618		

	Christopher Bottom	3010		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	on.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing d	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in	o avoid dismissal of th 37 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	TE below);		
(c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)	,			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 13.		ill be entered and an e	explanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: <u>17,19 and 20</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12, 14-16, and 18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered a necessary and	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attact	ned.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	n condition for allowar	nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The introduction of the term "front" in claims 1 and 15 is a new issue, which presents claims of a scope that were not previously considered. Further consideration of these claims is necessary before a decision regarding the patentability of the claims can be rendered. The amendment to claim 12 would place claim 12 in condition for allowance. Also, the expression "about a circumference," which was originally presented in claim 15, remains in claims 17, 19, and 20. As a result, claims 17, 19, and 20 still violate 35 USC 112, second paragraph and the objection to the drawings remains. The further amendments would overcome the other rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, and the objection to claim 15.

CHRISTOPHEN P. FILLIS